



Measure L Citizens' Oversight Committee

1st Floor City Council Conference Room Area 'B'

Monday, February 8, 2016

APPROVED MINUTES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

John David Milam, Ted Ribultan,
Jacqui Walters, Nellavan Jeglum, Steven Morgan

Regular Meeting – 5:30 p.m.

This meeting room is wheelchair accessible. Accommodations and access to City meetings for people with other handicaps may be requested of the City Clerk (499-5002) five working days in advance of the meeting.

CALL TO ORDER: Meeting was called to order at 5:35 p.m.

ROLL CALL: ROLL CALL

Present: J. Milam, T. Ribultan, J. Walters, N. Jeglum, Steven Morgan

Absent:

Staff: Chief Strand, Tyrell Staheli, Dennis Speer

Recording Secretary: K. Harker

APPROVAL OF AGENDA: *Motion To Approve Agenda Was Made By **Mr. Morgan** Seconded by **Mr. Ribultan**. Motion Carried By Voice Vote of 5 Ayes (Ribultan, Walters, Milam, Jeglum, Morgan) 0 Nays, 0 Absent, 0 Abstain*

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: *Motion To Approve the Minutes of December 14, 2015, Was Made by Mr. Morgan by waiving the reading of minutes and accepting the amended changes of spelling and grammatical errors. Seconded by **Mr. Ribultan** Motion Carried By Voice Vote of 5 Ayes (Ribultan, Walters, Milam, Jeglum, Morgan), 0 Nays, 0 Absent, 0 Abstain.*

Corrections to the December 14, 2015 Minutes

Page 2 – Chief Strands comment needs to be “spoke” and use the word “willing” instead of will. Misspelling of the word “leveging” needs to change to “leveraging” throughout the document and correct “Tom Wihnick” last name in two places.

PUBLIC COMMENT OF ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Opened Public Comment at 5:32

Bob Gould asked if the current year roll over of Eight Hundred Thousand Dollars is going to be spent on street projects for the current year.

The committee indicated that this would be addressed in the agenda item.

Closed Public Comment at 5:35

DISCUSSION AND OTHER ACTION ITEMS

➤ **Welcome New Member Steven Morgan**

Chair Milam welcomed Mr. Morgan and thanked him for being here. He felt that he would bring a good perspective to the committee. Mr. Morgan thanked Chair Milam and the committee for having him as part of the committee. He is anxious to get started and learn about all the members and their thoughts. Mr. Ribultan asked if Mr. Morgan could shed some light on the Ordinance that was written for the committee when the measure was passed. Mr. Morgan spoke to Ordinance 12-02 and that it was created for the committee to look at the Measure L Funds only. Mr. Morgan read the Original Ordinance and he indicated that it does have language that can be open for different opinions. The City Council felt that if the City was going to receive the ¾ cent tax a citizen's committee would be able to hold the City Council accountable for making sure that funds were spent appropriately for Street Maintenance and Public Safety.

Interim Report – Review and Discuss the Interim Report

Mr. Ribultan spoke about the interim report and if anyone had any feedback. It was suggested that staff be allowed to comment. Finance Director Ty Staheli did not feel that the last paragraph on the first page was an accurate statement. The fund of \$805,695 is in the City's funds as cash and is separate from all other funding.

Mr. Speer at this time asked Mr. Staheli to explain why the carry-over was so large. Mr. Staheli indicated that the previous finance director had used Tax Allocation Bond Funding instead of Measure L Funding for the nine street projects that were originally allocated for Measure L Funding. The Public Works Department in all sense would have spent the carry-over if it had not been taken from Tax Allocation Bond Funds (TAB). It was asked by the committee if Mr. Staheli could put together a small table to show what transpired. Mr. Staheli stated that he would put something together.

He also indicated that the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) report would be presented at the next City Council meeting of February 17, 2016.

The committee discussed changing the last two sentences in this paragraph and will reflect this change in the report as follows:

The last paragraph read as "Measure L expenditures for the Fiscal Year 2014-2015 was \$1,913,281. Public Safety Police Department expended \$1,404,207. Public Works Street Maintenance & Construction expenditure is \$509,074 with an additional obligation of \$295,226. The remaining balance of the Measure L funds for Fiscal Year 2014-2015 and the prior year carryover is \$805,695 and will be brought forward to Fiscal Year 2015-2016. This carry-over is being committed to the Street Department. ~~The carryover is reported within the city general funds. However, uncertainty exists to the availability of the carryover due to actual balance sheet totals. Carryover Measure L funds for additional police or street maintenance projects may not exist.~~ The plan for the carry-over of funds in the amount of Eight Hundred and Five Thousand Dollars is to be utilized one

hundred percent (100%) for street maintenance during the April to October paving season of 2016.

The committee went on to discuss if the report shown tonight should have information from Chief Strand. Chief Strand did a comprehensive report but felt it would not be appropriate to show it at this time. He felt the information he wanted to give was how Measure L Funds positively affected the Police Department and focus on the positives.

The committee discussed if it was important to have this information and what the purpose of the report was.

There was a Motion made by Mr. Ribultan to postpone putting out the Interim Report until the committee has Chief Strand's Information (motion seconded by Mr. Morgan to allow discussion) Motion Carried By Voice Vote of 1 Ayes (Ribultan), 4 Nays (Jeglum, Milam, Walters, Morgan) 0 Absent, 0 Abstain.

The Committee discussed the pros and cons of putting out the Interim Report with Chief Strand's information or without Chief Stand's information.

There was a Motion made by Mr. Morgan, Seconded by Mr. Ribultan that the Interim Report be presented to the City Council for review. (Motion was made with discussion) Motion Carried By Voice Vote of 1 Ayes (Ribultan), 3 Nays (Jeglum, Milam, Walters) 0 Absent, 1 Abstain (Morgan).

Mr. Morgan feels that the Council needs to see what is happening at this time. Ms. Jeglum felt Measure L is a sensitive issue for our community and to not have enough detail in the report could cause confusion. She asked the committee herself what does this report say, what does it mean? After discussion a vote was taken on above action.

There was a Motion made by Mr. Morgan, Seconded by Mr. Ribultan that the committee does not present an interim report to City Council. Motion Carried By Voice Vote of 4 Ayes (Ribultan, Jeglum, Morgan Walters), 0 Nays, 0 Absent, 1 Abstain (Milam).

The Committee discussed they will move forward using the interim report as an executive summary and concentrate on the final report which is due in March. The Committee can then have a complete report for the City Council Presentation and for the Public.

Tentative Pavement Rehabilitation Street Schedule

The Committee was presented with a Tentative Pavement Rehabilitation Schedule to show the streets for possible street maintenance for the 2016 paving season.

➤ Power Point Presentation on Pavement Management System – Dennis Speer

Dennis Speer presented his PowerPoint Presentation on Pavement Restoration and the difference between maintenance of roads and capital improvement projects. He did want to let the committee know that once the one time funds of Tax Allocation Bonds are

expended, it will be important to use Measure L Funds for matching funds to secure state and federal grants. Gave a brief history of how the Measure L funding 3-Year Pavement Management System came about from a former City Council Member and the Infrastructure Committee. The Engineering Department is updating a new Pavement Management Study right now with new recommendations. We should see documentation in the next six to eight weeks. Mr. Speer believes that by using alternative paving solutions, and stretching the dollar, we will see a higher Pavement Condition Index then what we started with 3 years ago.

CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE COMMENTS

S. Morgan – I have learned a lot about the perspectives of each individual member and I hope that as we move forward we can come to a consensus. It will be important to all be on the same page.

Chair Milam - We have seen new technologies from the Street's Department and I was wondering if there are any new technologies to help with the police service. Chief Strand discussed AB 109 and Prop 46 regarding how it affected the way the Police Department needs to do business. Chief Stand feels by providing a more progressive system of police services; it will allow him to change the culture in the Police Department. If you are asking if there is some technology system that has helped with crime, the answer would be no.

SUPPORT STAFF COMMENTS

Steven Morgan made the recommendation that at a future date, the Chair be allowed to look at the report that the Chief has generated and make the decision and/or sit with the Chief to decide what would be appropriate to place into the report. This was agreed upon by the Committee and Chief Strand.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- Make a presentation for the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report
- Discussion of items for Final Report

NEXT MEETING:

March 14, 2016

ADJOURNMENT: Motion to adjourn the meeting at 7:50 pm.